

## Post workshop brief report from Fujia Li (Cliff)

It has been a great and fruitful journey for me attending this workshop, so my sincere appreciation goes to everyone who has been involved in organising such a wonderful event.

The workshop raised interesting questions and tensions for me concerning research identities, group belongings, academic status, and interactions when talking about Responsible (Research and) Innovation (RI/RRI).

For example, I don't really know to which group I belong in this workshop, since I am neither a social scientist nor a biologist, and there was not a big group of PhD students in the room. The feeling of not knowing where I stand has at times caused anxieties and the sense of lost during the workshop, especially when established scholars were speaking of something either I don't understand or have never encountered before.

What I have learnt/find valuable?

To me the most valuable experience of the workshop is that I get to see people from different research areas coming together and talk to each other, which sometimes can be talking past each other, but most conversations are thought provoking and inspiring. I get to see different thinking patterns, different approaches towards the same topic etc.

What my position is/will be?

I think I am still a pre early stage researcher and will remain this status until I get my PhD degree. I would like to understand different framings of RRI/RI in countries like UK, China and maybe others by adopting an ethnographic approach for data collection and a critical discourse analysis (theory of framing, thematic analysis) for data analysis. This idea is further strengthened by this workshop because there seemed to be pluralistic and different levels of understandings towards this concept.