



Scientific Frontline® On-Site Search Engine by Google Co-op

Current UTC Time **14:27:14**
Monday, Sept. 07, 2009

News Home	Comm Center	Science	Earth Sci.	E.A.R.	Space News	Space Weather	Missions
Cassini	Sky Maps	Observatory	Stellar Night	Cloudy Night	Technology	Aviation	World News
Archive	Abstracts	Galleries	IYA 2009	Research	Links	Downloads	Dir. Chair
About Us		Contact Us		Disclaimer			



an online publication of the SFL ORG. Educational News Network

Nano Research Has Strong M

News Brief Categories

- [Announcements](#) | [Aviation](#) | [Achievements & Awards](#) | [Boeing](#) | [ESA](#) | [Lockheed Martin](#) | [Medical](#) | [NASA](#) | [Northrop Grumman](#) | [Science](#) | [Space](#) | [Technology](#) | [Univ. Announcements](#) | [Univ. Achievements & Awards](#) | [Univ. Grants & Funding](#) | [Univ. Medical](#) | [Univ. Science](#) | [Univ. Space](#) | [Univ. Technology](#) | [Womens Health](#)



Under Embargo Till: 09:00 UTC September 07, 2009

Posted: 08:00 UTC 09/07/2009

Nano Research Has Strong Multidisciplinary Roots

Monday, September 7, 2009

The burgeoning research fields of nanoscience and nanotechnology are commonly thought to be highly multidisciplinary because they draw on many areas of science and technology to make important advances.



Research reported in the September issue of the journal *Nature Nanotechnology* finds that nanoscience and nanotechnology indeed are highly multidisciplinary – but not much more so than other modern disciplines such as medicine or electrical engineering that also draw on multiple areas of science and technology.

With \$1.6 billion scheduled to be invested in nano-related research during 2010, assessing the multidisciplinary nature of the field could be important to policy-makers, research managers, technology-transfer officers and others responsible for managing the investment and creating a supportive environment for it.

“Research in nanoscience and nanotechnology is not just a collection of isolated ‘stove pipes’ drawing knowledge from one narrow discipline, but rather is quite interdisciplinary,” said Alan Porter, co-author of the paper and a professor emeritus in the Schools of Industrial and Systems Engineering and Public Policy at the Georgia Institute of Technology. “We found that research in any one category of nanoscience and nanotechnology tends to cite research in many other categories.”

The study was sponsored by the National Science Foundation through the Center for Nanotechnology in Society at Arizona State University.

Porter and collaborator Jan Youtie, manager of policy services in Georgia Tech’s Enterprise Innovation Institute, analyzed abstracts from more than 30,000 papers with “nano” themes that were published between January and July of 2008. They found that although materials science and chemistry dominated the papers, fields as diverse as clinical medicine, biomedical sciences and physics also contributed.



- Scientific Frontline®
RSS 2.0
- The Comm Center
RSS 2.0
- The E.A.R.®
RSS 2.0
- World News Report
RSS 2.0
- Space Weather Alerts
RSS 2.0
- Stellar Nights®
RSS 2.0
- Cassini Gallery
RSS 2.0
- Mars Gallery
RSS 2.0
- Missions Gallery
RSS 2.0
- Observatories Gallery
RSS 2.0
- Exploration Gallery
RSS 2.0
- Aviation Gallery
RSS 2.0
- Nature Trail Gallery
RSS 2.0

Scientific Frontline® is supported in part by "Readers Like You"



These “nanopapers” studied by the researchers appeared in more than 6,000 journals that were part of a database known as the Science Citation Index (SCI). The researchers found nanopapers in 151 of SCI’s 175 subject categories, with 52 of the categories containing more than 100 such papers.

To explore how well knowledge was integrated across the disciplines, the researchers also studied the journal articles that were cited in the nanopapers. They found more than one million cited references, a mean of 33 per paper.

Using text mining techniques to extract sources from the cited references, they further found that 45 subject categories were cited by five percent or more of the nanopapers – and 98 categories that were cited by at least one percent of the papers. The text mining was done using VantagePoint software developed by Georgia Tech and Search Technology Inc.

Six subject categories dominated both the original nanopapers and the cited references. Each of the six contained 10 percent or more of the original nanopapers and was cited by 39 percent or more of the references.

They are:

- Materials science, multidisciplinary
- Physics, applied
- Chemistry, physical
- Physics, condensed matter
- Nanoscience and nanotechnology
- Chemistry, multidisciplinary

The researchers found considerable interdisciplinary representation within those six categories. Though 86 percent of the 3,863 nanopapers in the “nanoscience and nanotechnology” category cited papers in materials science, another 80 subject categories had 40 or more cited papers each.

This representation continued even outside the top six categories. The 808 nanopapers in electrical engineering cited papers in journals from 138 different subject categories, while the 435 nanopapers in organic chemistry cited papers in journals from 140 different subject categories.

The researchers also used a metric they called an “integration score” to gauge how interdisciplinary nature of a particular paper or set of papers. The integration score ranged from zero for stand-alone disciplines that don’t cite work from other disciplines to one for highly-integrated disciplines that heavily cite work from other areas.

Integration scores ranged from 0.65 for nanoscience and nanotechnology to 0.60 for electrical engineering and 0.64 for organic chemistry.

“Our results show the multidisciplinary nature of research in nanoscience and nanotechnology, although the integration scores make it clear that much non-nano research is also comparably interdisciplinary,” Porter said. “Much of the nanoresearch is also concentrated in ‘macrodisciplines’ such as materials science and chemistry, and researchers tend to cite work from neighboring fields more often than work in more distant fields.”

Understanding the interdisciplinary nature of nanoscience and nanotechnology could be important to creating the right environment for the field to produce results.

“There is a broad perspective that most scientific breakthroughs occur at the interstices among more established fields,” said Youtie. “Nanotechnology R&D is believed to be an area where disciplines converge. If nanotechnology does have a strong multidisciplinary character, attention to communication across disciplines will be an important feature in its emergence.”

In the future, Porter and Youtie hope to explore other policy-focused nano topics, including:

- How research and development patterns can forecast likely commercial innovations;
- The societal implications of nanoscience and nanotechnology innovations so that potential negative efforts can be mitigated before they occur;
- How corporations develop their strategies for nanoscience and nanotechnology, and
- Where nanoscience and hotspots for research and development – called “nanodistricts” – exist around the world.

“A nanodistrict is a regional concentration of research institutions and firms where nanotechnologies are developed,” Youtie explained. “Although nanotechnology applications are deployed widely across the world, a smaller number of nanodistrict locations are appearing where nanotechnology research, development and initial commercialization are clustered.”

The Center for Nanotechnology in Society is part of a broad U.S. effort to anticipate the societal implications of nanotechnology. Georgia Tech’s role in the multi-university effort is to characterize the type of

nanotechnology research being done and to identify early indicators of emerging technologies in that field.

Youtie and Porter are also part of Georgia Tech's Program in Science, Technology and Innovation Policy (STIP), a collaboration of the School of Public Policy and the Enterprise Innovation Institute that advances research and practice in science, technology, innovation and spatial development policy.

Image Caption 1: Figure shows the position of nanoscience and nanotechnology over a base map of science. Each node is one of 175 subject categories in the SCI database, and the size of the node is proportional to the number of nanopapers published.

Image Caption 2: Figure shows the fields of science cited by nanotechnology papers.

Image Credits: Alan Porter and Jan Youtie. / Georgia Institute of Technology


Source: Georgia Institute of Technology

Permalink: http://www.sflorg.com/comm_center/unv_tech/p926_74.html

Time Stamp: 9/7/2009 at 09:00:00 UTC



Post 926 University Technology Research News 074 Use navigation to scroll this category
Member of



“Scientific Frontline®, Stellar Nights®, E.A.R.®, and Environmental Awareness Report®”
Are Registered Trademarks of the
Online Publication of the SFL ORG. Educational News Network
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma USA
A Not-for-Profit Educational News Service
© 2005 - 2009 All Rights Reserved

